

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,908	08/01/2005	Bernd Kuhn	Le A 36 031	7332
35969 Barbara A. Shir	7590 05/11/200 mei	EXAMINER		
Director, Paten		LAU, JONATHAN S		
Bayer HealthCare LLC - Pharmaceuticals 555 White Plains Road, Third Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY	10591		1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/510,908	KUHN ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan S. Lau	1623				
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>					
(1) <u>Jonathan S. Lau</u> .	(3)					
(2) Weiying Yang.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 April 2009	Time: <u>3:10 pm</u>					
Type of Interview:  ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: r/a.	nt's representative)					
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a						
Claims discussed: n/a						
Prior art documents discussed: n/a						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Applicant was directed to 37 CFR 1.55(a)(4)(ii) and MPEP 201.1: of the foreign priority document is "accurate" with regard to perfect supplemental reply containing the statement that the translation or by fax to 571-273-8300 to facilitate the prosecution of the applica	5 regarding the statement that the cting the claim for priority. Examinating the certified copy of the foreign	translation of the certified copy ner suggested that a				
Part III.						
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview				
Jonathan Lau Patent Examiner Art unit 1623						
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				